

$N_T = 8$, $D = 2$ Hodge-type cohomological gauge theory with global $SU(4)$ symmetry¹

B. GEYER^{a 2} AND D. MÜLSCH^{b 3}

^a *Universität Leipzig, Naturwissenschaftlich-Theoretisches Zentrum
and Institut für Theoretische Physik, D-04109 Leipzig, Germany*

^b *Wissenschaftszentrum Leipzig e.V., D-04103 Leipzig, Germany*

Abstract

We show that the partially topological twisted $N = 16$, $D = 2$ super Yang–Mills theory gives rise to a $N_T = 8$ Hodge-type cohomological gauge theory with global $SU(4)$ symmetry.

1. Introduction

Some very enlightening, but preliminary attempts have been made to incorporate into the gauge-fixing procedure of general gauge theories besides the basic ingredience of BRST cohomology Ω also a co-BRST cohomology ${}^*\Omega$ which, together with the BRST Laplacian W , form the same kind of superalgebra as the de Rham cohomology operators in differential geometry (for a review, see, e.g., Ref. [1]). This would allow, according to the Hodge-type decomposition $\psi = \omega + \Omega\chi + {}^*\Omega\phi$ of a general quantum state, by imposing both the BRST condition $\Omega\psi = 0$ and the co-BRST condition ${}^*\Omega\psi = 0$ upon ψ , to select the uniquely determined harmonic state ω thereby projecting onto the subspace of physical states.

It has been a long-standing problem to present a non-abelian field theoretical model obeying such a Hodge-type cohomological structure. Recently, the authors have shown [2] that the dimensional reduced Blau–Thompson model [3] — the novel $N_T = 2$ topological twist of the $N = 4$, $D = 3$ super Yang–Mills theory (SYM) — gives a prototype example of a $N_T = 4$, $D = 2$ Hodge-type cohomological gauge theory. The conjecture, that topological gauge theories could be possible candidates for Hodge-type cohomological theories was already asserted by van Holten [4]. In fact, $D = 2$ topological gauge theories [5] are of particular interest because of their relation to $N = 2$ superconformal theories [6] and Calabi–Yau moduli spaces [7].

Here we present another example of a Hodge-type cohomological gauge theory. It is obtained by a $N_T = 8$ topological twist of the Euclidean $N = 16$, $D = 2$ SYM, and its action localizes onto the moduli space of complexified flat connections. The $N_T = 8$ scalar supercharges Q^α and ${}^*Q^\alpha$ of that theory form a topological superalgebra which is completely analogous to the de Rham cohomology. Both supercharges are interrelated by a discrete Hodge-type $\star$ operation and generate the topological shift and co-shift symmetries. In accordance with the group theoretical description of some classes of topologically twisted low-dimensional supersymmetric world-volume theories [3], it is shown that this $N_T = 8$ cohomological theory has actually the global symmetry group $SU(4)$. Such effective low-energy world-volume theories appear quite naturally in the study of curved D-branes and D-brane instantons wrapping around supersymmetric cocycles for special Lagrangian submanifolds of Calabi–Yau n -folds (see, e.g., [8, 9, 3]).

The paper is organized as follows: In Sec. 2 we briefly describe the BRST complex of general gauge theories based on harmonic gauges. In Sec. 3 we obtain the Euclidean $N = 16$, $D = 2$

¹Talk given at 3. Int. Andrei Sakharov Conference on Physics, Moscow, June 24 - 29, 2002

²Email: geyer@itp.uni-leipzig.de

³Email: muelsch@informatik.uni-leipzig.de

SYM theory with R-symmetry group $SO(8)$ from the $N = 4$, $D = 4$ SYM via dimensional reduction to $D = 2$. In Sec. 4 we perform the partial $N_T = 8$ topological twist of this SYM theory thereby getting the looked for $N_T = 8$ Hodge-type cohomological theory with global symmetry group $SU(4)$. A more detailed version will be presented elsewhere [10].

2. BRST complex and Hodge decomposition

In order to select uniquely the physical states from the ghost-extended quantum state space some attempts [1] have been made to incorporate into the gauge-fixing procedure of general gauge theories besides the BRST cohomology Ω also a co-BRST cohomology $^*\Omega$ which, together with the BRST Laplacian W , obeys the following BRST-complex:

$$\Omega^2 = 0, \quad ^*\Omega^2 = 0, \quad [\Omega, W] = 0, \quad [^*\Omega, W] = 0, \quad W = \{\Omega, ^*\Omega\} \neq 0,$$

where Ω and $^*\Omega$ have ghost number $+1$ and -1 , respectively. Obviously, $^*\Omega$ can not be identified with the anti-BRST operator $\bar{\Omega}$ which anticommutes with Ω .

Representations of this algebra for the first time have been considered by Nishijima [11]. However, since Ω and $^*\Omega$ are nilpotent hermitian operators they cannot be realized in a Hilbert space. Instead, the BRST complex has to be represented in a Krein space $\mathcal{K}$ [12]. $\mathcal{K}$ is obtained from a Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$ with non-degenerate positive inner product (χ, ψ) if $\mathcal{H}$ will be endowed also with a self-adjoint metric operator $J \neq 1$, $J^2 = 1$, allowing for the introduction of another non-degenerate, but indefinite scalar product $\langle \chi | \psi \rangle := (\chi, J\psi)$. With respect to the inner product Ω and $^*\Omega = \pm J\Omega J$ are adjoint to each other, $(\chi, ^*\Omega\psi) = (\Omega\chi, \psi)$, however they are self-adjoint with respect to the indefinite scalar product of $\mathcal{K}$. Notice, that different inner products (χ, ψ) lead to different co-BRST operators!

From these definitions one obtains a remarkable correspondence between the BRST cohomology and the de Rham cohomology:

BRST operator	Ω ,	differential	d ,
co-BRST operator	$^*\Omega = \pm J\Omega J$,	co-differential	$\delta = \pm \star d \star$,
duality operation	J ,	Hodge star	$\star$,
BRST Laplacian	$W = \{\Omega, ^*\Omega\}$,	Laplacian	$\Delta = \{d, \delta\}$.

Because of this correspondence one denotes a state ψ to be BRST (co-)closed iff $\Omega\psi = 0$ ($^*\Omega\psi = 0$), BRST (co-)exact iff $\psi = \Omega\chi$ ($\psi = ^*\Omega\phi$) and BRST harmonic iff $W\psi = 0$. Completely analogous to the Hodge decomposition theorem in differential geometry there exists a corresponding decomposition of any state ψ into a harmonic, an exact and a co-exact state, $\psi = \omega + \Omega\chi + ^*\Omega\phi$. The physical properties of ψ lie entirely within the BRST harmonic part ω which is given by the zero modes of the operator W ; thereby $W\omega = 0$ implies $\Omega\omega = 0 = ^*\Omega\omega$, and vice versa. The cohomologies of the (co-)BRST operator are given by equivalence classes:

$$\begin{aligned} H(\Omega) &= \frac{\text{Ker } \Omega}{\text{Im } \Omega}, & \psi \sim \psi' &= \psi + \Omega\chi \quad (\text{equivalence class}), \\ H(^*\Omega) &= \frac{\text{Ker } ^*\Omega}{\text{Im } ^*\Omega}, & \psi \sim \psi' &= \psi + ^*\Omega\phi \quad (\text{equivalence class}). \end{aligned}$$

By imposing only the BRST gauge condition, $\Omega\psi = 0$, within the equivalence class of BRST-closed states $\psi = \omega + \Omega\chi$ besides the harmonic state ω there occur also spurious BRST-exact states, $\Omega\chi$, which have zero physical norm. On the other hand, by imposing also the co-BRST gauge condition, $^*\Omega\psi = 0$, one gets for each BRST cohomology class the uniquely determined harmonic state, $\psi = \omega$.

3. Dimensional reduction of the $N = 4$, $D = 4$ super Yang–Mills theory

Our final aim is to show that by a partial topological twist of $N = 16$, $D = 2$ SYM one gets a $N_T = 8$ Hodge–type cohomological theory with global symmetry group $SU(4)$. However, since the relationship between the twisted and untwisted fields is rather complex, let us first introduce the $N = 16$, $D = 2$ SYM. This theory can be obtained by dimensional reduction to $D = 2$ from either $N = 1$, $D = 10$ SYM or $N = 4$, $D = 4$ SYM. Because the latter theory is well known, we choose the last possibility.

The field content of $N = 4$, $D = 4$ SYM consists of an anti-hermitean gauge field A_μ , two Majorana spinors $\lambda_{A\alpha}$ and $\bar{\lambda}_{\dot{A}}^\alpha$ ($\alpha = 1, 2, 3, 4$) which transform as the fundamental and its complex conjugate representation of $SU(4)$, respectively, and a set of complex scalar fields $G_{\alpha\beta} = \frac{1}{2}\epsilon_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta}G^{\gamma\delta}$, which transform as the second-rank complex selfdual representation of $SU(4)$. All the fields take their values in the Lie algebra $Lie(\mathcal{G})$ of some compact gauge group $\mathcal{G}$.

In Euclidean space this theory has the following invariant action [13]:

$$S^{(N=4)} = \int_E d^4x \operatorname{tr} \left\{ \frac{1}{4} F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu} - i \bar{\lambda}_{\dot{A}}^\alpha (\sigma_\mu)^{\dot{A}B} D^\mu \lambda_{B\alpha} + \frac{1}{64} [G_{\alpha\beta}, G_{\gamma\delta}] [G^{\alpha\beta}, G^{\gamma\delta}] \right. \\ \left. - \frac{1}{2} i \lambda_{A\alpha} [G^{\alpha\beta}, \lambda^A{}_\beta] - \frac{1}{2} i \bar{\lambda}^{\dot{A}\alpha} [G_{\alpha\beta}, \bar{\lambda}_{\dot{A}}{}^\beta] + \frac{1}{8} D_\mu G_{\alpha\beta} D^\mu G^{\alpha\beta} \right\}, \quad (1)$$

where the numerically invariant tensors $(\sigma_\mu)^{AB}$ and $(\sigma_\mu)_{\dot{A}\dot{B}}$ are the Clebsch–Gordon coefficients relating the representation $(1/2, 1/2)$ of $SL(2, C)$ to the the vector representation of $SO(4)$,,

$$(\sigma_\mu)^{\dot{A}B} = (-i\sigma_1, -i\sigma_2, -i\sigma_3, I_2), \quad (\sigma_\mu)_{\dot{A}B} \equiv (\sigma_\mu)^{\dot{C}D} \epsilon_{\dot{C}\dot{A}} \epsilon_{DB} = (\sigma_\mu^*)^{\dot{A}B}, \\ (\sigma_\mu)_{AB} = (i\sigma_1, i\sigma_2, i\sigma_3, I_2), \quad (\sigma_\mu)^{AB} \equiv \epsilon^{AC} \epsilon^{\dot{B}\dot{D}} (\sigma_\mu)_{C\dot{D}} = (\sigma_\mu^*)_{AB}, \quad (2)$$

$(\sigma_\mu)_{\dot{A}B}$ and $(\sigma_\mu)^{AB}$ being the corresponding complex conjugate coefficients, respectively. Here, σ_a ($a = 1, 2, 3$) are the Pauli matrices. The selfdual and anti-selfdual generators of the $SO(4)$ rotations, $(\sigma_{\mu\nu})_{AB}$ and $(\sigma_{\mu\nu})_{\dot{A}\dot{B}}$, obey the relations

$$(\sigma_\mu)^{AC} (\sigma_\nu)_{\dot{C}}{}^B = (\sigma_{\mu\nu})^{AB} - \delta_{\mu\nu} \epsilon^{AB}, \\ (\sigma_\rho)^{AC} (\sigma_{\mu\nu})_{\dot{C}}{}^{\dot{B}} = \delta_{\rho\mu} (\sigma_\nu)^{A\dot{B}} - \delta_{\rho\nu} (\sigma_\mu)^{A\dot{B}} - \epsilon_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} (\sigma^\sigma)^{A\dot{B}}, \\ (\sigma_\mu)_{\dot{A}C} (\sigma_\nu)^C{}_{\dot{B}} = (\sigma_{\mu\nu})_{\dot{A}\dot{B}} + \delta_{\mu\nu} \epsilon_{\dot{A}\dot{B}}, \\ (\sigma_\rho)_{\dot{A}C} (\sigma_{\mu\nu})^C{}_B = \delta_{\rho\mu} (\sigma_\nu)_{\dot{A}B} - \delta_{\rho\nu} (\sigma_\mu)_{\dot{A}B} + \epsilon_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} (\sigma^\sigma)_{\dot{A}B}. \quad (3) \quad (4)$$

The spinor index A (and analogously $\dot{A}$) is raised and lowered as follows: $\epsilon^{AC} \varphi_C^B = \varphi^{AB}$ and $\varphi_A^C \epsilon_{CB} = \varphi_{AB}$, where ϵ_{AB} (and analogous $\epsilon_{\dot{A}\dot{B}}$) is the invariant tensor of the group $SU(2)$, $\epsilon_{12} = \epsilon^{12} = \epsilon_{\dot{1}\dot{2}} = \epsilon^{\dot{1}\dot{2}} = 1$.

The action (1) is manifestly invariant under hermitean conjugation:

$$(A_\mu, \lambda_{A\alpha}, \bar{\lambda}^{\dot{A}\alpha}, G^{\alpha\beta}) \rightarrow (-A_\mu, \bar{\lambda}_{\dot{A}}^\alpha, \lambda^A{}_\alpha, G_{\alpha\beta}).$$

Furthermore, making use of (3) and (4), one verifies that (1) is invariant also under the following on-shell supersymmetry transformations,

$$Q_A{}^\alpha A_\mu = -i(\sigma_\mu)_{AB} \bar{\lambda}^{\dot{B}\alpha}, \\ Q_A{}^\alpha \bar{\lambda}_{\dot{B}}{}^\beta = (\sigma^\mu)_{AB} D_\mu G^{\alpha\beta}, \\ Q_A{}^\alpha G_{\beta\gamma} = 2i(\delta_{\beta\gamma} \lambda_{A\gamma} - \delta_{\gamma\beta} \lambda_{A\gamma}), \\ Q_A{}^\alpha \lambda_{B\beta} = -\frac{1}{2} \delta_{\beta\gamma}^{\alpha\mu} (\sigma^{\mu\nu})_{AB} F_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2} \epsilon_{AB} [G^{\alpha\gamma}, G_{\gamma\beta}]$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
\bar{Q}_{\dot{A}\alpha} A_\mu &= i(\sigma_\mu)_{\dot{A}B} \lambda^B_\alpha, \\
\bar{Q}_{\dot{A}\alpha} \lambda_{B\beta} &= (\sigma^\mu)_{\dot{A}B} D_\mu G_{\alpha\beta}, \\
\bar{Q}_{\dot{A}\alpha} G^{\beta\gamma} &= 2i(\delta_\alpha^\beta \bar{\lambda}_{\dot{A}}^\gamma - \delta_\alpha^\gamma \bar{\lambda}_{\dot{A}}^\beta), \\
\bar{Q}_{\dot{A}\alpha} \bar{\lambda}_{\dot{B}}^\beta &= -\frac{1}{2} \delta_\alpha^\beta (\sigma^{\mu\nu})_{\dot{A}\dot{B}} F_{\mu\nu} + \frac{1}{2} \epsilon_{\dot{A}\dot{B}} [G_{\alpha\gamma}, G^{\gamma\beta}].
\end{aligned}$$

Let us recall that it is not possible to complete this superalgebra off-shell with a finite number of auxiliary fields [14].

In order to perform in (1) the dimensional reduction to $D = 2$ we re-name the third and fourth component of A_μ according to

$$A_3 = \frac{1}{2}(\phi + \bar{\phi}), \quad A_4 = \frac{1}{2}i(\phi - \bar{\phi}), \quad (5)$$

reserving the notation A_μ ($\mu = 1, 2$) for the gauge field in $D = 2$. Moreover, we decompose the components of $(\sigma_\mu)_{\dot{A}}^B$, $(\sigma_{\mu\nu})_{\dot{A}}^{\dot{B}}$ and $(\sigma_\mu)_A^B$, $(\sigma_{\mu\nu})_A^B$ in the following manner,

$$\begin{aligned}
(\sigma_\mu)_{\dot{A}}^B &\rightarrow i(\sigma_\mu)_A^B, & (\sigma_\mu)_A^{\dot{B}} &\rightarrow i(\sigma_\mu)_A^B, \\
(\sigma_3)_{\dot{A}}^B &\rightarrow -i(\sigma_3)_A^B, & (\sigma_3)_A^{\dot{B}} &\rightarrow -i(\sigma_3)_A^B, \\
(\sigma_4)_{\dot{A}}^B &\rightarrow \delta_A^B, & (\sigma_4)_A^{\dot{B}} &\rightarrow -\delta_A^B, \\
(\sigma_{\mu\nu})_{\dot{A}}^{\dot{B}} &\rightarrow -i\epsilon_{\mu\nu}(\sigma_3)_A^B, & (\sigma_{\mu\nu})_A^B &\rightarrow i\epsilon_{\mu\nu}(\sigma_3)_A^B, \\
(\sigma_{\mu 3})_{\dot{A}}^{\dot{B}} &\rightarrow i\epsilon_{\mu\nu}(\sigma^\nu)_A^B, & (\sigma_{\mu 3})_A^B &\rightarrow -i\epsilon_{\mu\nu}(\sigma^\nu)_A^B, \\
(\sigma_{\mu 4})_{\dot{A}}^{\dot{B}} &\rightarrow i(\sigma_\mu)_A^B, & (\sigma_{\mu 4})_A^B &\rightarrow i(\sigma_\mu)_A^B, \\
(\sigma_{34})_{\dot{A}}^{\dot{B}} &\rightarrow -i(\sigma_3)_A^B, & (\sigma_{34})_A^B &\rightarrow -i(\sigma_3)_A^B,
\end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

such that both the relations (3) and (4) become the algebra of the Pauli matrices,

$$\begin{aligned}
(\sigma_\mu)_A^C (\sigma_\nu)_{CB} &= \delta_{\mu\nu} \epsilon_{AB} + i\epsilon_{\mu\nu} (\sigma_3)_{AB}, & (\sigma_\mu, \sigma_3)_A^B &= (\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \sigma_3), \\
(\sigma_\mu)_A^C (\sigma_3)_{CB} &= -i\epsilon_{\mu\nu} (\sigma^\nu)_{AB}, \\
(\sigma_3)_A^C (\sigma_3)_{CB} &= \epsilon_{AB}.
\end{aligned}$$

Then, from (1) we obtain the Euclidean action of the $N = 16$, $D = 2$ SYM

$$\begin{aligned}
S^{(N=16)} &= \int_E d^2x \operatorname{tr} \left\{ \frac{1}{4} F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu} + \frac{1}{2} D_\mu \bar{\phi} D^\mu \phi - \frac{1}{8} [\bar{\phi}, \phi]^2 \right. \\
&\quad - \frac{1}{2} \bar{\lambda}_A^\alpha (\sigma_3)^{AB} [\phi + \bar{\phi}, \lambda_{B\alpha}] + \frac{1}{2} \bar{\lambda}^{A\alpha} [\phi - \bar{\phi}, \lambda_{A\alpha}] \\
&\quad + \bar{\lambda}_A^\alpha (\sigma_\mu)^{AB} D^\mu \lambda_{B\alpha} - \frac{1}{2} i \lambda_{A\alpha} [G^{\alpha\beta}, \lambda^A_\beta] - \frac{1}{2} i \bar{\lambda}^{A\alpha} [G_{\alpha\beta}, \bar{\lambda}_A^\beta] \\
&\quad \left. + \frac{1}{8} D_\mu G_{\alpha\beta} D^\mu G^{\alpha\beta} + \frac{1}{8} [\bar{\phi}, G_{\alpha\beta}] [\phi, G^{\alpha\beta}] + \frac{1}{64} [G_{\alpha\beta}, G_{\gamma\delta}] [G^{\alpha\beta}, G^{\gamma\delta}] \right\}. \quad (7)
\end{aligned}$$

Since the decompositions (6) explicitly include various factors of i , the action (7) is no longer manifestly invariant under hermitean conjugation. Rather, it is invariant under the following Z_2 symmetry,

$$Z_2 : \quad (A_\mu, \phi, \bar{\phi}, \lambda_{A\alpha}, \bar{\lambda}^{A\alpha}, G^{\alpha\beta}) \rightarrow (A_\mu, \bar{\phi}, \phi, -\bar{\lambda}_A^\alpha, -\lambda^A_\alpha, G_{\alpha\beta}). \quad (8)$$

Denoting the $N = 16$ spinorial supercharges in $D = 2$ by Q_A^α and $\bar{Q}_{A\alpha}$, which are interchanged by the Z_2 symmetry (8), the transformation rules of the re-named fields are:

$$\begin{aligned}
Q_A^\alpha A_\mu &= (\sigma_\mu)_{AB} \bar{\lambda}^{B\alpha}, \\
Q_A^\alpha \phi &= -(\sigma_3)_{AB} \bar{\lambda}^{B\alpha} - \bar{\lambda}_A^\alpha, \\
Q_A^\alpha \bar{\phi} &= -(\sigma_3)_{AB} \bar{\lambda}^{B\alpha} + \bar{\lambda}_A^\alpha, \\
Q_A^\alpha \bar{\lambda}_B^\beta &= \frac{1}{2} i (\sigma^\mu)_{AB} D_\mu G^{\alpha\beta} - \frac{1}{2} i (\sigma_3)_{AB} [\phi + \bar{\phi}, G^{\alpha\beta}] - \frac{1}{2} i \epsilon_{AB} [\phi - \bar{\phi}, G^{\alpha\beta}], \\
Q_A^\alpha G_{\beta\gamma} &= 2i (\delta^\alpha_\beta \lambda_{A\gamma} - \delta^\alpha_\gamma \lambda_{A\beta}), \\
Q_A^\alpha \lambda_{B\beta} &= \frac{1}{2} i \delta^\alpha_\beta \epsilon^{\mu\nu} (\sigma_\nu)_{AB} D_\mu (\phi + \bar{\phi}) + \frac{1}{2} \delta^\alpha_\beta (\sigma^\mu)_{AB} D_\mu (\phi - \bar{\phi}) \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{2} \delta^\alpha_\beta (\sigma^3)_{AB} [\phi, \bar{\phi}] - \frac{1}{2} i \delta^\alpha_\beta \epsilon^{\mu\nu} (\sigma_3)_{AB} F_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2} \epsilon_{AB} [G^{\alpha\gamma}, G_{\gamma\beta}].
\end{aligned} \tag{9}$$

4. $N_T = 8$ topological twist of the $N = 16$, $D = 2$ super Yang–Mills theory

Let us now perform the $N_T = 8$ topological twist of the $N = 16$, $D = 2$ SYM (for the group theoretical description of that topological twist we refer to [3]). For that purpose we introduce the following set of twisted fields: A $SU(4)$ -quartet of Grassmann-odd vector fields ψ_μ^α , two $SU(4)$ -quartets of Grassmann-odd scalar fields, $\bar{\eta}_\alpha$ and $\bar{\zeta}_\alpha$ which transform as the fundamental and its complex conjugate representation of $SU(4)$, respectively, and a $SU(4)$ -sextet of Grassmann-even complex scalar fields $M_{\alpha\beta} = \frac{1}{2} \epsilon_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} M^{\gamma\delta}$, which transform as the second-rank complex selfdual representation of $SU(4)$.

Explicitly, the relationships between the original and the twisted fields are chosen as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
\lambda_{A\alpha} &= \frac{1}{2} \left(i (\sigma^\mu)_{AB} (\psi_\mu^1 - \epsilon_{\mu\nu} \psi^{\nu 3}) + (\sigma_3)_{AB} (\bar{\eta}_4 + \bar{\zeta}_2) + i \epsilon_{AB} (\bar{\zeta}_4 - \bar{\eta}_2) \right), \\
\bar{\lambda}^{A\alpha} &= \frac{1}{2} \left(i (\sigma^\mu)^{AB} (\epsilon_{\mu\nu} \psi^{\nu 4} - \psi_\mu^2) + (\sigma_3)^{AB} (\bar{\zeta}_1 + \bar{\eta}_3) - i \epsilon^{AB} (\bar{\eta}_1 - \bar{\zeta}_3) \right), \\
&\quad i (\sigma^\mu)^{AB} (\epsilon_{\mu\nu} \psi^{\nu 3} + \psi_\mu^1) + (\sigma_3)^{AB} (\bar{\zeta}_2 - \bar{\eta}_4) - i \epsilon^{AB} (\bar{\eta}_2 + \bar{\zeta}_4) \right),
\end{aligned} \tag{10}$$

between $\lambda_{A\alpha}$, $\bar{\lambda}^{A\alpha}$ and the twisted vector and scalar fields ψ_μ^α , $\bar{\eta}_\alpha$, $\bar{\zeta}_\alpha$, as well as

$$\begin{aligned}
\phi &= M_1 - i M_2, \\
\bar{\phi} &= M_1 + i M_2,
\end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
G_{\alpha\beta} &= \begin{pmatrix} \epsilon_{AB} M_6 & -(\sigma^\mu)_{AB} V_\mu + (\sigma_3)_{AB} M_3 - i \epsilon_{AB} M_4 \\ (\sigma^\mu)_{AB} V_\mu - (\sigma_3)_{AB} M_3 - i \epsilon_{AB} M_4 & \epsilon_{AB} M_5 \end{pmatrix}, \\
G^{\alpha\beta} &= \begin{pmatrix} \epsilon^{AB} M_5 & (\sigma^\mu)^{AB} V_\mu - (\sigma_3)^{AB} M_3 + i \epsilon^{AB} M_4 \\ -(\sigma^\mu)^{AB} V_\mu + (\sigma_3)^{AB} M_3 + i \epsilon^{AB} M_4 & \epsilon^{AB} M_6 \end{pmatrix},
\end{aligned} \tag{12}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
M_1 &= \frac{1}{2} (M^{12} + M^{34}), & M_3 &= \frac{1}{2} i (M^{12} - M^{34}), & M_5 &= M^{24}, \\
M_2 &= \frac{1}{2} (M^{14} + M^{23}), & M_4 &= \frac{1}{2} i (M^{14} - M^{23}), & M_6 &= M^{31},
\end{aligned}$$

between ϕ , $\bar{\phi}$, $G_{\alpha\beta}$ and the twisted vector and scalar fields V_μ and $M_{\alpha\beta}$, respectively.

Thereby, the assignment between the index α of the internal group and the spinor index A is the following: In (10) the spinor indices $B = 1, 2$ at the top and at the bottom of both columns correspond to the values $\alpha = 1, 2$ and $\alpha = 3, 4$ of both spinors $\lambda_{A\alpha}$ and $\bar{\lambda}^{A\alpha}$, respectively. Similary, in (12) the spinor indices $A = 1, 2$ (resp. $B = 1, 2$) at the upper and at the lower raw

(resp. at the left and at the right column) of the both matrices correspond to the values $\alpha = 1, 2$ and $\alpha = 3, 4$ (resp. $\beta = 1, 2$ and $\beta = 3, 4$) of the scalar fields $G_{\alpha\beta}$, respectively. By using the explicit form (2) of the Clebsch–Gordon coefficients one establishes that $G_{\alpha\beta}$ and $G^{\alpha\beta}$ in (12) are actually dual to each other, $G_{\alpha\beta} = \frac{1}{2}\epsilon_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta}G^{\gamma\delta}$.

The relationship between the spinorial supercharges $Q^{A\alpha}$ and $\bar{Q}_{A\alpha}$, being interrelated by the Z_2 symmetry (8), and the twisted scalar and vector supercharges Q^α , $\star Q^\alpha$ and $\bar{Q}_{\mu\alpha}$, being interchanged by a discrete Hodge–type $\star$ operation (see Eq. (15) below), is quite similar to the ones of the spinor fields, Eq. (10), namely

$$\begin{aligned} Q^{A\alpha} &= \frac{1}{2} \left(i(\sigma^\mu)^{AB}(\bar{Q}_{\mu 1} - \epsilon_{\mu\nu}\bar{Q}_3^\nu) - (\sigma_3)^{AB}(Q^4 - i\star Q^2) - \epsilon^{AB}(\star Q^4 - iQ^2) \right), \\ \bar{Q}_{A\alpha} &= \frac{1}{2} \left(i(\sigma^\mu)_{AB}(\epsilon_{\mu\nu}\bar{Q}_4^\nu - \bar{Q}_{\mu 2}) + (\sigma_3)_{AB}(i\star Q^1 - Q^3) + \epsilon_{AB}(iQ^1 - \star Q^3) \right) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} \left(i(\sigma^\mu)_{AB}(\epsilon_{\mu\nu}\bar{Q}_3^\nu + \bar{Q}_{\mu 1}) + (\sigma_3)_{AB}(i\star Q^2 + Q^4) + \epsilon_{AB}(iQ^2 + \star Q^4) \right). \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

After performing in (7) the topological twist (10) – (12) and introducing the Grassmann–even auxiliary fields B , $\bar{B}$, Y and $E_{\mu\alpha\beta} = \frac{1}{2}\epsilon_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta}E_\mu^{\gamma\delta}$ one gets the following $N_T = 8$ Hodge–type cohomological gauge theory with global symmetry group $SU(4)$:

$$\begin{aligned} S^{(N_T=8)} &= \int_E d^2x \operatorname{tr} \left\{ \frac{1}{4}i\epsilon^{\mu\nu}BF_{\mu\nu}(A + iV) - \frac{1}{4}i\epsilon^{\mu\nu}\bar{B}F_{\mu\nu}(A - iV) - \frac{1}{2}\bar{B}B \right. \\ &\quad - \epsilon^{\mu\nu}\bar{\zeta}_\alpha D_\mu(A + iV)\psi_\nu^\alpha - \bar{\eta}_\alpha D^\mu(A - iV)\psi_\mu^\alpha - \frac{1}{4}E_{\alpha\beta}^\mu E_\mu^{\alpha\beta} \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2}i\epsilon^{\mu\nu}M_{\alpha\beta}\{\psi_\mu^\alpha, \psi_\nu^\beta\} + iM^{\alpha\beta}\{\bar{\eta}_\alpha, \bar{\zeta}_\beta\} - YD^\mu(A)V_\mu - \frac{1}{2}Y^2 \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{1}{8}D^\mu(A + iV)M_{\alpha\beta}D_\mu(A - iV)M^{\alpha\beta} + \frac{1}{64}[M_{\alpha\beta}, M_{\gamma\delta}][M^{\alpha\beta}, M^{\gamma\delta}] \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

In this $SU(4)$ symmetric form the action (14) is manifestly invariant under the following Hodge–type $\star$ symmetry, defined by the replacements

$$\varphi \equiv \begin{bmatrix} \partial_\mu & A_\mu & V_\mu & \\ \psi_\mu^\alpha & \bar{\eta}_\alpha & \bar{\zeta}_\alpha & M^{\alpha\beta} \\ B & \bar{B} & Y & E_\mu^{\alpha\beta} \end{bmatrix} \Rightarrow \star\varphi = \begin{bmatrix} \epsilon_{\mu\nu}\partial^\nu & \epsilon_{\mu\nu}A^\nu & -\epsilon_{\mu\nu}V^\nu & \\ -i\psi_\mu^\alpha & -i\bar{\zeta}_\alpha & i\bar{\eta}_\alpha & -M^{\alpha\beta} \\ -\bar{B} & -B & -Y & \epsilon_{\mu\nu}E^{\nu\alpha\beta} \end{bmatrix}. \quad (15)$$

with the property $\star(\star\varphi) = -P\varphi$. Here, P is the operator of Grassmann–parity whose eigenvalues are defined by

$$P\varphi = \begin{cases} +\varphi & \text{if } \varphi \text{ is Grassmann-odd,} \\ -\varphi & \text{if } \varphi \text{ is Grassmann-even} \end{cases}.$$

Hence, after twisting the Z_2 symmetry (8) changes into the Hodge–type $\star$ symmetry (15).

The transformations rules for the topological shift symmetry, generated by Q^α , are

$$\begin{aligned} Q^\alpha A_\mu &= \psi_\mu^\alpha, \\ Q^\alpha V_\mu &= -i\psi_\mu^\alpha, \\ Q^\alpha M_{\beta\gamma} &= 2i(\delta^\alpha_\beta \bar{\zeta}_\gamma - \delta^\alpha_\gamma \bar{\zeta}_\beta), \\ Q^\alpha \psi_\mu^\beta &= E_\mu^{\alpha\beta} - i\epsilon_{\mu\nu}D^\nu(A - iV)M^{\alpha\beta}, \\ Q^\alpha \bar{\zeta}_\beta &= i\delta^\alpha_\beta B, \\ Q^\alpha B &= 0, \\ Q^\alpha \bar{\eta}_\beta &= i\delta^\alpha_\beta Y + \frac{1}{2}[M^{\alpha\gamma}, M_{\gamma\beta}], \\ Q^\alpha Y &= [M^{\alpha\beta}, \bar{\zeta}_\beta], \\ Q^\alpha \bar{B} &= -2[M^{\alpha\beta}, \bar{\eta}_\beta], \\ Q^\alpha E_{\beta\gamma}^\mu &= \delta^\alpha_{[\beta}(\epsilon^{\mu\nu}D_\nu(A + iV)\bar{\zeta}_{\gamma]} - D^\mu(A - iV)\bar{\eta}_{\gamma]} - i\epsilon^{\mu\nu}[M_{\gamma]\delta}, \psi_\nu^\delta]). \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

From combining Q^α with the above displayed Hodge-type $\star$ symmetry one gets the corresponding transformations rules for the topological co-shift symmetry: $\star Q^\alpha = P \star Q^\alpha \star$.

By a straightforward calculation one verifies that both the supercharges Q^α and $\star Q^\alpha$ provide an *off-shell* realization of the following topological superalgebra,

$$\{Q^\alpha, Q^\beta\} = 0, \quad \{Q^\alpha, \star Q^\beta\} = -2\delta_G(M^{\alpha\beta}), \quad \{\star Q^\alpha, \star Q^\beta\} = 0, \quad (17)$$

where the field-dependent gauge transformations are defined by $\delta_G(M^{\alpha\beta})A_\alpha = -D_\alpha M^{\alpha\beta}$ and $\delta_G(M^{\alpha\beta})X = [M^{\alpha\beta}, X]$ for all the other fields.

Obviously, the structure of this superalgebra is directly analogous to the de Rham cohomology in differential geometry: The exterior and the co-exterior derivatives d and $\delta = \pm \star d \star$, being interrelated by the duality $\star$ operation, correspond to the nilpotent topological shift and co-shift operators Q^α and $\star Q^\alpha = P \star Q^\alpha \star$, respectively. Moreover, the Laplacian $\Delta = \{d, \delta\}$ corresponds to the field-dependent gauge generator $\delta_G(M^{\alpha\beta})$, so that we have indeed a perfect example of a Hodge-type cohomological gauge theory.

Furthermore, by an explicit calculation one can verify that the action (14) is also invariant under the following *on-shell* vector supersymmetries,

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{Q}_{\mu\alpha} A_\nu &= \delta_{\mu\nu} \bar{\eta}_\alpha - \epsilon_{\mu\nu} \bar{\zeta}_\alpha, \\ \bar{Q}_{\mu\alpha} V_\nu &= -i\delta_{\mu\nu} \bar{\eta}_\alpha - i\epsilon_{\mu\nu} \bar{\zeta}_\alpha, \\ \bar{Q}_{\mu\alpha} M^{\beta\gamma} &= 2i\epsilon_{\mu\nu} (\delta_\alpha^\beta \psi^{\nu\gamma} - \delta_\alpha^\gamma \psi^{\nu\beta}), \\ \bar{Q}_{\mu\alpha} \bar{\zeta}_\beta &= \epsilon_{\mu\nu} E_{\alpha\beta}^\nu + iD_\mu (A - iV) M_{\alpha\beta}, \\ \bar{Q}_{\mu\alpha} \bar{\eta}_\beta &= E_{\mu\alpha\beta} + i\epsilon_{\mu\nu} D^\nu (A + iV) M_{\alpha\beta}, \\ \bar{Q}_{\mu\alpha} \psi_\nu^\beta &= -2\delta_\alpha^\beta F_{\mu\nu}(A) - 2i\delta_\alpha^\beta D_\mu(A) V_\nu - i\delta_\alpha^\beta \delta_{\mu\nu} Y - i\delta_\alpha^\beta \epsilon_{\mu\nu} \bar{B} + \frac{1}{2} \delta_{\mu\nu} [M_{\alpha\gamma}, M^{\gamma\beta}], \\ \bar{Q}_{\mu\alpha} \bar{B} &= 2i\epsilon_{\mu\nu} D^\nu (A + iV) \bar{\eta}_\alpha, \\ \bar{Q}_{\mu\alpha} Y &= 2iD_\mu (A - iV) \bar{\eta}_\alpha - \epsilon_{\mu\nu} [M_{\alpha\beta}, \psi^{\nu\beta}], \\ \bar{Q}_{\mu\alpha} B &= 2i\epsilon_{\mu\nu} D^\nu (A - iV) \bar{\eta}_\alpha + 4iD_\mu(A) \bar{\zeta}_\alpha + 2[M_{\alpha\beta}, \psi_\mu^\beta], \\ \bar{Q}_{\mu\alpha} E_\nu^{\beta\gamma} &= -\delta_\alpha^{[\beta} D_{[\mu} (A + iV) \psi_{\nu]}^{\gamma]} - \delta_\alpha^{[\beta} \delta_{\mu\nu} D^\rho (A - iV) \psi_\rho^{\gamma]} + i\delta_\alpha^{[\beta} [M^{\gamma]\delta}, \epsilon_{\mu\nu} \bar{\eta}_\delta - \delta_{\mu\nu} \bar{\zeta}_\delta]. \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

In addition, this action is also invariant under the co-vector supersymmetries

$$\star \bar{Q}_{\mu\alpha} = P \star \bar{Q}_{\mu\alpha} \star \doteq i \bar{Q}_{\mu\alpha},$$

which on-shell, i.e., by using *only* the equations of motion of the auxiliary fields, become i times the vector supersymmetries! Hence, it holds

$$(Q^\alpha, \star Q^\alpha, \bar{Q}_{\mu\alpha}) S^{(N_T=8)} = 0,$$

and the total number of (real) supercharges is actually $N = 16$.

Finally, let us mention that there is also a $N_T = 4$ topological twist of $N = 16$, $D = 2$ SYM with global symmetry group $SO(4) \otimes SU(2)$. This topological theory can be regarded as the $N_T = 4$ super-BF theory coupled to a spinorial hypermultiplet. Another way of obtaining the action of this theory is to dimensionally reduce either the higher dimensional analogue of the Donaldson-Witten theory in $D = 8$ [15, 16] to $D = 2$ or to dimensionally reduce the $N_T = 1$ half-twisted theory [17] in $D = 4$ to $D = 2$. However, that topological twist does not lead to another Hodge-type cohomological theory, since the underlying cohomology is only equivariantly nilpotent and not strictly nilpotent as in Eqs. (17).

References

- [1] J. W. van Holten, *Aspects of BRST quantization*, Lectures at Summer School "Geometry and Topology in Physics", Rot a.d. Rot (Germany), Sept. 2001 (hep-th/0201124), and references therein
- [2] B. Geyer and D. Mülsch, *Phys. Lett. B* **518** (2001) 181; *Hodge-type cohomological gauge theories*, Proc. 5. Int. A. Friedman Seminar, Joao Pessoa, April 2002, to appear: Int. J. Mod. Phys. A
- [3] M. Blau and G. Thompson, *Nucl. Phys. B* **492** (1997) 545
- [4] J. W. van Holten, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **64** (1990) 2863
- [5] R. Dijkgraaf, E. Verlinde and H. Verlinde, *Nucl. Phys. B* **352** (1991) 59; E. Verlinde and N. P. Warner, *Phys. Lett. B* **269** (1991) 96
- [6] M. Ademollo, L. Brink, A. D'Adda, R. D'Auria, E. Napolitano, S. Sciuto, E. del Giudice, P. di Vecchia, S. Ferrara, F. Gliozzi, R. Musto and R. Pettorino, *Phys. Lett. B* **62** (1976) 105; P. Gepner, *Nucl. Phys. B* **296** (1988) 757; W. Lerche, C. Vafa and N. P. Warner, *Nucl. Phys. B* **324** (1989) 427; B. Green, C. Vafa and N. P. Warner, *Nucl. Phys. B* **324** (1989) 371
- [7] P. Candelas, C. T. Horowitz, A. Strominger and E. Witten, *Nucl. Phys. B* **258** (1985) 46; P. Candelas, A. M. Dale, C. A. Lutken and R. Schimmrigk, *Nucl. Phys. B* **298** (1988) 493; P. Candelas, *Nucl. Phys. B* **298** (1989) 458; P. Candelas and X. de la Ossa, *Nucl. Phys. B* **342** (1990) 246
- [8] E. Witten, *Nucl. Phys. B* **323** (1989) 113
- [9] M. Bershadsky, V. Sadov and C. Vafa, *Nucl. Phys. B* **448** (1995) 166
- [10] B. Geyer and D. Mülsch, *Higher dimensional analogue of the Blau-Thompson model and $N_T = 8$ Hodge-type cohomological gauge theories*, to appear
- [11] K. Nishijima, *Prog. Theor. Phys.* **80** (1988) 897; **80** (1988) 905
- [12] A.V. Razumov and G.N. Rybkin *Nucl. Phys. B* **332** (1990) 209
- [13] L. Brink, J. Schwarz and J. Scherk, *Nucl. Phys. B* **121** (1977) 77
- [14] W. Siegel and M. Rocek, *Phys. Lett. B* **105** (1981) 275; V. Rivelles and J. G. Taylor, *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **A 15** (1982) 163
- [15] L. Baulieu, H. Kanno and I. Singer, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **194** (1998) 149; *Cohomological Yang-Mills theory in eight dimensions*, in: *Dualities in String Theory*, Seoul 1997 , p. 365 (hep-th/9705127)
- [16] B. S. Acharya and M. O'Loughlin, *Phys. Rev. D* **55** (1997) 4521; B. S. Acharya, M. O'Loughlin and B. Spence, *Nucl. Phys. B* **503** (1997) 657
- [17] J. Yamron, *Phys. Lett. B* **213** (1988) 325